SCIENCE & CYCLING CONFERENCE 2023



EFFECTS OF ACUTE HYPOXIA AT REST EXPOURE ON TIME TRIAL PERFORMANCE OF NATIONAL CATEGORY CYCLIST

Jesús Torres₁, Aitor Pinedo Jauregi₂, Jesús Cámara₃, Javier Yanci₃

¹Faculty of Education and Sport, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), 01007, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain. <u>jtorres009@ikasle.ehu.eus</u>

² Department of Physical Education and Sport, Faculty of Education and Sport, University of the Basque Country (UPV-EHU), Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain; Bioaraba, Physical Activity, Exercise and Health Group, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain. <u>aitor.pinedo@ehu.eus</u>

³ GIzartea, Kirola eta Ariketa Fisikoa Ikerkuntza Taldea (GIKAFIT), Physical Education and Sport Department, Faculty of Education and Sport, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain. <u>jesus.camara@ehu.eus</u>, <u>javier.yanci@ehu.eus</u>



INTRODUCTION

ALTITUDE TRAINING/EXPOSURE HAS BEEN STUDIED SINCE FINAL OF 90'S



ENHANCE PERFORMANCE

 LHTL (Levine & Stray-Gundersen, 1997): Live High-Train Low (exposure to hypoxia and training in normoxia) → Enhance performance in endurance sports.



- Improvements in cycling performance → LHTL (Garvican et al., 2012; Hahn & Gore, 2001; Hamlin et al., 2010; Mattila & Rusko, 1996; McLean et al., 2014).
- LHTL

<u>Haematological adaptations</u> during rest exposure & peripherical adaptations.



Training intensity not modified during sea level train.

LIMITATIONS: logistical, economical, personal requirements -> LHTL: Live High (normobaric hypoxia)-Train Low.

INTRODUCTION

Normobaric hypoxia exposure allows to ACUTE exposure to hypoxia

(Constantini et al., 2021) \rightarrow have studied influence of FiO₂=0.16 \rightarrow TimeTrial (TT) 10 km.

- (11 ± 3%) decrease mean power output.
- Solution Cardiorespiratory responses





Allows to research

Methodological applications of altitude training /hypoxia exposure.



ACUTE EXPOSURE TO HYPOXIA & SUBSEQUENT TT PERFORMANCE UNDER NORMOXIC CONDITIONS Remains unknown





To analyze the effects of **30-minutes at rest of normobaric hypoxia exposure**



Immediately All effort exercise (20 min) under normoxic conditions:

Physiological performance variables: Gas exchange, power, perceived of effort

Economy and Gross efficiency



METHODOLOGY

- PARTICIPANTS: national class (McKay et al., 2022)
 - 7 MALE
 - 2 FEMALE
- <u>HYPOXIA/NORMOXIA EXPOSURE PROTOCOL</u>
 - HYPOXIA EXPOSURE: 30'AT REST FiO₂= 0.09
 - NORMOXIA/PLACEBO: 30'AT REST FiO₂= 0.21

- 6 years cycling experience
- No exposure to hypoxia 6 months prior to study

- SIMULATED TIME TRIAL IN CYCLE ERGOMETER
 - WARM UP 5'
 - 20'SELF PACED EFFORT
 - SPEED/CADENCE/POWER: BLINDED TO PARTICIPANTS
 - ONLY ELAPSED TIME AVAIBLE (Borszcz et al., 2018)

- All out effort during 20 minutes
- No fixed distance
- Time fixed







• Gas exchange variables: VO₂ (VO₂ L/min & VO₂r, mL/kg/min)



Statistical significance p < 0.05

RESULTS

Table 1. Results of gas exchange variables, before and after normoxia/hypoxia exposure.

	Pre	Post	ES (Dif %)	р
HIPOXIA				
VO2 (L/min)	$0,3 \pm 0,0$	$0,3 \pm 0,0$	-0,09 (0,00)	NS
VO2 r (mL/kg/min)	$5,4 \pm 1,1$	$5,5 \pm 0,6$	-0,05 (1,85)	NS
EqO_2	$33,6 \pm 3,7$	32,8 ± 4,4	0,49 (-2,38)	NS
EqCO2	$38,3 \pm 3,8$	42,4 ± 4,7***	-1,58 (10,7)	0,001
PetO ² (mmHg)	96,5 ± 3,6	$(92,4 \pm 4,8^*)$	0,95 (-4,25)	0,021
PetCO ₂ (mmHg)	$35,1 \pm 3,4$	32,6 ± 3,8*	0,84 (-7,12)	0,035
NORMOXIA				
VO2 (L/min)	$0,3 \pm 0,0$	$0,3 \pm 0,0 \#$	-0,12 (0,00)	NS
VO2 r (mL/kg/min)	$4,8 \pm 0,4$	4,9 ± 0,6#	-0,14 (2,08)	NS
EqO_2	$37,0 \pm 4,0$	$34,5 \pm 5,4$	0,54 (-6,76)	NS
EqCO2	$38,9 \pm 4,9$	41,0 ± 5,0	-0,52 (5,40)	NS
PetO ² (mmHg)	99,4 ± 3,5	95,3 ± 4,6**	1,23 (-4,12)	0,006
PetCO ₂ (mmHg)	$34,4 \pm 3,2$	32,8 ± 2,2	0,61 (-4,65)	NS

*EqCO*²:mean values of respiratory equivalent of carbon dioxide. *EqO*²: mean values of respiratory oxygen equivalent. *ES*: effect size. *Dif* %: difference between post and pre values expressed as a percentage. *PetCO*²: mean end-expired carbon dioxide pressure values. *PetO*²: mean end-expired oxygen pressure values. *Post*: time after exposure to hypoxia. *Pre*: time before exposure to hypoxia or normoxia. *VO*² *r*: mean values of oxygen consumption normalized to body mass. *VO*²: average values of oxygen consumption. *NS*: not significant.

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** ≤ 0.001 significant differences with respect to pre-exposure values. # p < 0.05 significant differences between hypoxia and normoxia exposure.

RESULTS

Table 2. Results of gas exchange variables, mechanical performance variables and perceived effort after normoxia/hypoxiaexposure.

VARIABLE	After	After	ES	р
	normoxia exposure	hypoxia exposure		
VO ₂ (L/min)	3.6 ± 0.3	3.6 ± 0.4	0.10	0.770
VO2r (ml/kg/min)	57.6 ± 4.2	56.8 ± 4.9	0.13	0.707
POWER (W)	273.2 ± 31.8	277.8 ± 33.3	-0.21	0.540
POWERr (W/kg)	4.2 ± 0.4	4.3 ± 0.3	-0.21	0.545
CR10wup	3.1 ± 1.5	2.7 ± 0.9	0.21	0.535
СR10тт10	7.0 ± 0.9	7.3 ± 0.6	-0.25	0.464
СR10тт20	9.0 ± 1.0	9.1 ± 0.8	-0.17	0.608
CR10TTmean	6.3 ± 1.0	6.4 ± 0.6	-0.03	0.923

VO²: average values of oxygen consumption during the time trial. WUP: values in the warm-up prior to the time trial. **VO**²*r*: average values of oxygen consumption relative to body mass during the time trial. **POWER**: average power of the time trial. **POWER***r*: average relative power of the time trial. **CR10**^{WUP}: values of rate of perceived exertion during warm up of TT. **CR10**^{TT10}: values of rate of perceived during min 10 and min 20 of TT. **CR10**^{TTmean}: average values of CR10^{TT10} and CR10^{TT20}. **ES**: effect size.

ECONOMY & GROSS EFFICIENCY: energy expenditure

ECONOMY VALUES				
NORMOXIA	ΗΥΡΟΧΙΑ	р	ES	
4.4 ± 0.2 W/L/min	4.5 ± 0.2 W/L/min	0.214	0.45	
			small	

GE VALUES			
NORMOXIA	ΗΥΡΟΧΙΑ	р	ES
7.5 ± 1.0 %	7.4 ± 1.0 %	0.689	-0.14
			trivial

ENERGY EXPENDITURE				
NORMOXIA	ΗΥΡΟΧΙΑ	р	ES	
3613.8 ± 181.6 J/s	3750.3 ± 338.1 J/s	0.205	0.41	
			small	

DISCUSSION

NO CHANGES

• Gas Exchange variables Performance variables: Power **EXERCISE UNDER ACUTE HIPOXIA EXPOSURE** • RPE PERFORMANCE Economy, GE, energy expenditure LOSSES **GROSS EFICIENCY: MEAN POWER LOSS** ECONOMY **MEAN POWER LOSS** (Noordhof et al., 2013) TT 10 KM: FiO₂: 0.16 VO₂ affected under TT 30 KM: FiO₂: 0.16 **HPX** exercise Sea level vs Altitude (1500 m.a.s.l) : 11 ± 3% less 9.6 % less (Wehrlin & Hallén, 2006) 21.4 ± 0.8 % vs 20.7 ± (Constantini et al., 2021) (Płoszczyca et al., 2021) 1.1*%, *GE at GXT; RER ≤1.0, max % VO₂ peak, (Clark et al., 2007) • Compensatory effect of exercise \rightarrow on 200 vs 3200 m.a.s.l Gas Exchange variables \rightarrow No 5'TT : did not change. **EXPLAINED MAINLY** performance changes. *Submaximal GE; mean of stages (5) at LOSS IN POWER GXT test: (Constantini et al., 2021) (van Erck et al., 2019) $17.3 \pm 2.4\%$ vs $16.8 \pm 2.2\%$



HYPOXIA EXPOSURE: 30'AT REST

EXERCISE UNDER NORMOXIA: TT 20' NORMOXIA





PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS



The use of normobaric hypoxia in training may have potential for improving performance.

"Acute exposure to hypoxia at rest" didn't modificate the immediately performance under normoxic conditions on TT.

More studies are needed to evaluate the usefulness of acute exposure to hypoxia for the improvement of subsequent performance on a 20 min TT in cycling. $\overline{}$



Application of acute exposure "doesn't compromise the performance in training"



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

• jtorres009@ikasle.ehu.eus