Predicting Power Outputs in a Fatigued State







Fresh performances aren't a very good predictor of race results

'very small to no
correlation
between power
output values
from traditional
testing and race
performance'



What happens under fatigue – what we know already...



What happens under fatigue – what we know already...



How well can we predict performance in a fatigued state already?

'If we know something about the workload in a given race, we can do a pretty good job'



Load metrics (internal and external)

eTRIMP eTRIMP.km⁻¹ Total Work Total Work.km⁻¹

Predicting performance in a fatigued state (at an individual level)



Continental and ProTeam athletes

n=4 included in analysis

(many more have completed steps 1 and 2)



Step 1 – Model the power duration relationship in a fresh state



Step 2 – Model the power duration relationship in a fatigued state (post novel fatiguing protocol)



Step 3 – Compare model estimates (time-matched) to MMP values from uphill finishes where riders contested the victory

Power Profile Test – To Derive a Fresh P-D



Fatiguing Protocol - To Derive a Fatigued P-D



Estimating CP and W'

Linearization of the P-D 550 -550 relationship 500 -500 allows CP and W' (M) 450 -400 -(N) 450 -Jamo 400 to be easily N determined 350 -350 -CP 300 -300 +-200 400 600 800 1000 0.002 0.004 0 0.000 0.006 time (s) 1/time (s)

PD relationship fresh vs fatigued



Results



Conclusions



Fresh values are a poor predictor of fatigued performance

Athletes more than capable of testing in a fatigued state

Novel fatiguing protocol can replicate race demands

Power profiling in a fatigued state predicts in race performance

Questions?





