

- *Type of the Paper (Article)*
- Perceptions of cycling helmet safety in relation to
- sports-related concussion mitigation amongst 3
- competitive cyclists 4
- 5 Howard Thomas Hurst 1*, Brett Anthony Baxter 2, Tim Gamble 3 and Jack Hardwicke 2
 - 1* School of Sport and Wellbeing, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK
 - Centre for Physical Activity and Life Sciences, University of Northampton, Northampton, UK
 - Department of Psychological Sciences, School of Psychology, University of Surrey, UK

* Correspondence: (HTH) HTHurst@uclan.ac.uk

Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

10

6 7 8

Keywords: Sports-related concussion; Cycling; Helmets; Safety; Perceptions

11 12

1. Introduction

Whilst research into competitive cycling and sports-related concussion (SRC) has developed over the past few years, understanding of competitive cyclists' perceptions of helmet safety in relation to mitigating SRC is limited. Therefore, this study aimed to explore these perceptions along with cyclists' attitudes towards seeking medical attention in the event of sustaining helmet damage.

2. Materials and Methods

Four hundred and five participants of mixed sex, age, abilities and cycling disciplines, completed an anonymous selfreported online survey, made up of 'Yes' or 'No', Likert scale and open-ended questions, to assess perception of bicycle helmets in relation to sports-related concussion. Inferential and descriptive statistics were used to analyze data. Chi-square tests of independence were conducted to assess the influence of sex, age, discipline, and ability level on frequency of survey response rates. Mann-Whitney U tests were conducted to between-sex differences assess individual Likert scale responses, and Kruskal-Wallis tests were conducted to assess between-ability level differences for individual Likert scale responses. Post-hoc analyses were conducted using Tukey's to examine where differences between groups occurred. Open-field data were analyzed using conventional content analysis (Hsieh & Shannon, 2005).

3. Results

Understanding of helmet safety

Whilst most respondents correctly reported a helmet can reduced the risk of skull fractures, 79.5% incorrectly reported that helmets do offer protection against concussion. There were no significant differences in knowledge of helmet safety between age, sex or ability levels (P > 0.05). However, there were significant differences between disciplines for knowledge of protection against concussion (χ 2 = 30.681; P= 0.013), with BMX and Audax/Long Distance cyclists more likely to correctly report helmets do not prevent SRC.

Attitudes towards helmet damage

Table 3 shows the responses to three scenarios relating to helmet damage. If the helmet was cracked, most respondents stated they would replace it with a new one (Agree = 15.3%, Strongly Agree = 80.7%). However, significant differences were revealed between disciplines with respect to



© 2020 fist author, licensee JSC. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ((http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.





a potential head injury following a crash resulting in a scuffed helmet (P = 0.001) and after a crash resulting in a cracked helmet (P = 0.013), with *post-hoc* pairwise comparisons (P < 0.05) finding Mountain Bike and BMX athletes were less likely to seek medical attention than in these scenarios than Cyclocross and triathlon/duathlon athletes.

Table 1. Attitudes towards helmet damage and seeking medical attention.

Statement	Frequency of Likert survey responses				
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
"I would seek medical care for potential head injuries if I had been involved in a high impact crash, but my head (and helmet) did not contact the floor."	49 (12.1%)	132 (32.6%)	128 (31.6%)	65 (16.0%)	31 (7.7%)
"I would seek medical care for potential head injuries if I had been involved in a crash where my helmet had been scuffed but not cracked."	43 (10.6%)	149 (36.8%)	126 (31.1%)	59 (14.6%)	28 (6.9%)
"I would seek medical care for potential head injuries if I had been involved in a crash where my helmet had been cracked."	9 (2.2%)	47 (11.6%)	87 (21.5%)	140 (34.6%)	122 (30.1%)

4. Discussion

While previous research has reported concussion knowledge amongst cyclists is generally comparable to that of other athletes (Hurst et al., 2019; Hardwicke and Hurst, 2020), our findings suggest there remains confusion around the effectiveness of cycling helmets to mitigate from SRC. Additionally, Mountain Bike and BMX riders reported to have a more cavalier attitude to seeking medical attention in the event of sustaining helmet damage. This may be linked to risk taking behaviours and the extreme nature of these events which has been previously reported (Clarke et al.,

2019). However, BMX athletes also reported a disparity between understanding and action. Whilst they were more likely to correctly report that a helmet does not prevent SRC, they also reported refraining from seeking medical care following a suspected head injury in scenarios where this would be recommended.

5. Practical Applications

Many cyclists still appear to be willing to put their health at risk despite sustaining helmet damage and potential SRC. However, this may be influenced by a false sense of security in a helmets' ability to prevent such injuries. Therefore, we propose further work is still needed to educate riders on the effectiveness of helmets, and also further independent research is needed using clinical outcome data to ascertain the effectiveness of concussion mitigation technologies in helmets.

6. Conclusions

This study found that considerable numbers of competitive cyclists still believe cycling helmets protect against SRC and reported they would not, or were unsure, whether they would seek medical care if they scuffed or cracked a helmet. Therefore, further education regarding helmets effectiveness in SRC mitigation is required.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: Thank you to all the respondents who took the time to complete the survey.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. However, the full manuscript of this abstract has been published in the International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health (https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19052861).

References

- 1. Hsieh, H.F.; Shannon, S.E. Three Approaches to Qualitative Content Analysis. Qual. Health Res. 2005, 15, 1277–1288. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732305276687.
- 2. Hurst, H.; Novak, A.; Cheung, S.; Atkins, S. Knowledge of and attitudes towards concussion in cycling: A preliminary study. J. Sci. Cycl. 2019, 8, 11–17. Available online:

 http://www.jscjournal.com/ojs/index.php?journal=JSC&page=article&o

- p=view&path%5B%5D=10.28985%2 F1906.jsc.03&path%5B%5D=534
- 3. Hardwicke, J.; Hurst, H. Concussion knowledge and attitudes amongst competitive cyclists. J. Sci. Cycl. **2020**, *9*, 53–66.
- 4. Clark, G.; Johnson, N.A.; Saluja, S.S.; Correa, J.A.; Delaney, J.S. Do Mountain Bikers Know When They Have Had a Con-cussion and, Do They Know to Stop Riding? Clin. J. Sport Med.2019, 31, e414–e419. https://doi.org/10.1097/jsm.0000000 000000819.