Knowing your slope on the track: Getting the most out of GPS and power data

Stefan Wolf and Dietmar Saupe

Multimedia Signalprocessing Department of Computer and Information Science University of Konstanz, Germany

Science & Cycling

Düsseldorf, Germany 29.06.2017







Distribution of work



grade resistance 91.8%

Available data

GPS (Leica GPS900 Differential GPS)

Pro:

- High accuracy

Con:

- Obstacles near road
- Heavy and bulky equipment



Barometric (Garmin Edge 1000)

Pro: - Easy to use

Con: - Drift

Elevation map (SRTM1, www.gpsies.com)



Pro:

- Consistent values

Con:

 Coarse mesh of 30x30m

Available data

GPS (Leica GPS900 Differential GPS)

Pro:

- High accuracy

Con:

- Obstacles near road
- Heavy and bulky equipment

Barometric (Garmin Edge 1000)



Pro: - Easy to use

Con:

- Drift

Elevation map (SRTM1, www.gpsies.com)



Pro:

- Consistent values

Con:

 Coarse mesh of 30x30m

Power (SRM) and Speed (Garmin)



What are suitable methods to determine the slope?

What error can be expected?

Altitude correction





Goal:

- Global adaptation to SRTM1
- Local accuracy of Edge 1000

Altitude correction



Altitude correction





Correct altitude profile:

 Add the smoothed difference to the Edge 1000 data

Result:

- Global differences removed
- Local differences preserved



2 Methods

Slope

Simple method:

- Difference quotient





Problem:

- Amplifies noise



Slope by 'Gaussian'-Method (GAU)

- 1. Smooth altitude profile with Gaussian filter
- 2. Calculate slope by difference quotient



2 Methods

Slope by Savitzky–Golay filter (SGO)

Savitzky-Golay filter provides smoothed slope and altitude directly by polynomial approximation



Slope by Tikhonov regularization (TIK)

Calculate slope directly by Tikhonov regularization:

$$\min_{s} \left\| As - \hat{y} \right\|^2 + \alpha \left\| Ds \right\|^2$$

- A: integrator
- \hat{y} : measurements
- D: differential operator
- $\alpha :$ smoothing factor





2 Methods

Slope by Model (MOD)

Mechanical model of cycling dynamics:

$$\underbrace{mgvs}_{B} + \underbrace{\mu mgv + (\beta_0 v + \beta_1 v^2) + (m + \frac{l_w}{r_w^2})av + \frac{1}{2}c_d\rho Av^3 - \eta P}_{c} = 0$$

Solve linear equation system:

 $s = -B^{-1}c$



2 Methods

Slope by Tikhonov regularization with model extension (TIM)

Extend Tikhonov regularization functional:

 $\min_{s} \|As - \hat{y}\|^{2} + \alpha \|Ds\|^{2} + \beta \|Bs + c\|^{2}$



Experiment and data analysis

Course

- Length: 5.3 km
- Mainly uphill with short downhill sections

Measurements

- Three rides
- Different days
- Good weather conditions

Experiment and data analysis

Course

- Length: 5.3 km
- Mainly uphill with short downhill sections

Measurements

- Three rides
- Different days
- Good weather conditions

DGPS ground truth

- Use only very good quality datapoints (accuracy better than 5 cm)
- Smooth altitude with Gaussian filter ($\sigma = 15 \text{ m} \approx 4 \text{ datapoints}$)
- Slope by difference quotient

Experiment and data analysis

Course

- Length: 5.3 km
- Mainly uphill with short downhill sections

Measurements

- Three rides
- Different days
- Good weather conditions

DGPS ground truth

- Use only very good quality datapoints (accuracy better than 5 cm)
- Smooth altitude with Gaussian filter ($\sigma = 15 \text{ m} \approx 4 \text{ datapoints}$)
- Slope by difference quotient

Method parameters

- Parameters (filters and regularization) are determined for each method and each ride for best fit with ground truth











Local error (RMSE) vs. global error (ME):



3 Results

Results



Summary

- Small global error (ME) with altitude correction
- Significant local error (RMSE) for all methods
- Local error is reduced by including speed and power data



https://www.mmsp.uni-konstanz.de/research/powerbike/