Drug-free performance enhancement: Capitalising on cyclists' capacity to respond to placebos

Chris Beedie Professor of Applied Sport & Exercise Science Canterbury Christ Church University Canterbury, Kent, UK <u>chris.beedie@canterbury.ac.uk</u>

Before I begin: People without whom this presentation would not have been possible

- Phil Hurst (University of Birmingham)
- Dr. Abby Foad (Canterbury Christ Church University)
- Dr. Damian Coleman (Canterbury Christ Church University)
- Professor Andy Lane (University of Wolverhampton)
- Professor Fabrizio Benedetti (University of Turin)
- Professor Jack Raglin (Indiana University)
- Professor Emma Cohen (Oxford University)

Overview of this talk

- Placebo effects in cycling research
 - Via formation of implicit expectations by cyclists
 - Via communication of explicit expectations by experts
- Placebo responders versus non-responders
- Creating a placebo responder
 - Using needles and drugs to elicit a conditioned placebo response on performance
 - Using words and placebos to elicit a conditioned placebo response on performance
- Ethics & professional practice
- The cycling context as a placebo-rich environment

The placebo effect

- A positive psychobiological response to an environmental cue
- Placebo effect associated with drugs
- Constitute up to 75% of some drug effects (100% of some CAM)
- Experienced to a wide range of cues, not simply drugs
- Most data indicate a role for expectation or conditioning
- Consistent neurophysiological mechanisms have been identified using numerous methods











Evidence for placebo effects in cycling performance

- Beedie et al. Placebo effects of caffeine on cycling performance. *Med. Sci. Sport. Ex. 2006*
- Participants informed one each of
 - Placebo
 - Low dose caffeine (4.5 mg/kg)
 - High dose caffeine (9.0 mg/kg)
- Placebo administered in each condition
 - Believed placebo -1.5%
 - Believed low dose caffeine +1.5%
 - Believed high dose caffeine +3.0%
- Dose response effect of placebo?



Cyclist set up their own *implicit* expectations

- We informed cyclists that they would receive treatments randomly assigned (repeated-measures design)
- After T1:
 - "What do you think you had today"?
 - They all answered "It felt as hard as baseline, so placebo....."
- After T2:
 - "What do you think you had today"?
 - All but one answered "Felt easier, but not too easy, so low dose caffeine..."
- Prior to T3
 - All but one participant was *expecting* high dose caffeine...
- Greater implicit expectation of effect related to greater effect
- Dose response effect was for *expectation*, not placebo

Message 1: Cyclists' implicit expectation of an effect can enhance performance



Evidence for *explicit* expectation in placebo effects on cycling performance

- Foad et al. Psychological & pharmacological effects of caffeine on 40km cycling performance. *Med. Sci. Sport. Ex. 2008*
- Cyclists informed/given
 - Caffeine/caffeine (C/C)
 - Caffeine/placebo (C/P)
 - No-treatment/caffeine (P/C)
 - No-treatment/no-treatment (P/P)
- Findings
 - (C/C) +6.0%
 - (C/P) +3.0%
 - (P/C) +2.5%
 - (P/P) -1.5%



Message 2: Providing cyclists with an explicit expectation of an effect can enhance performance



Message 3: Negative expectations can also disrupt performance



But some cyclists appeared to respond to expectation, whilst others did not...?

- Beedie et al. Identification of placebo responsive athletes in 40km cycling performance. *J. Sport. Sci. Med. 2008*
- Responders and non-responders both performed below baseline when they correctly believed they had received no caffeine



Condition

But some cyclists appeared to respond to expectation, whilst others did not...?

- Beedie et al. Identification of placebo responsive athletes in 40km cycling performance. *J. Sport. Sci. Med. 2008*
- Placebo responders responded better to real caffeine than did placebo non responders



Condition

Message 4: Placebo responsive cyclists experienced greater positive effects of caffeine



The question I'm asked more than any other:

Is it possible to create a placebo responsive athlete?

Can we 'create' a placebo responder? Conditioned effects of morphine in a muscle task

- Benedetti et al. Opioid-mediated placebo responses boost pain endurance and physical performance: is it doping in sport competitions? J. Neurosci. 2007
- Hand grip task. Repeated injections of morphine in training phase
- Replacement of morphine with injection of placebo on the day of competition
- Opioid-mediated increase of pain endurance and physical performance observed



Can we 'create' a placebo responder? Conditioned effects of morphine in a muscle task



Benedetti et al (2007) J. Neurosci.

NAL

Message 5: Learning of a drug effect on performance is possible



But.....

- Despite suggesting that the 'athlete' would not be doping on the day of competition...
 - The study used a drug
 - The study used injections
 - The process is unethical and banned in sport





- Pollo et al. The top-down influence of ergogenic placebos on muscle work and fatigue. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2008
- Experiment 1
 - Following baseline, placebo caffeine was administered with verbal expectation of enhanced performance (leg extension).
 - Resulted in increased performance.

- Pollo et al. The top-down influence of ergogenic placebos on muscle work and fatigue. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2008
- Experiment 2
 - Following baseline, placebo caffeine administered prior to two weighttraining sessions (leg extension).
 - Resistance was reduced deceptively to set up expectation that the 'caffeine' was ergogenic.
 - In a final trial, the load was restored to the original weight.

Pollo et al. (2008) Eur. J. Neurosci.

- Pollo et al. The top-down influence of ergogenic placebos on muscle work and fatigue. *Eur. J. Neurosci. 2008*
- Results
 - Significant increase in muscle work and decrease in perceived muscle fatigue.
 - Greater effects observed following conditioning than earlier expectation-only treatment
 - Underscores the role of *learning* in increasing muscle performance with placebos.

Message 6: Learning of a placebo effect on performance is possible.

So, if we were to conduct our 2005-2008 studies again....?

- We would have used Pollo's (2008) placebo acquisition protocol ahead of cycling performance trials
- Hypothesis 1: We would have seen a greater total number of placebo responders
- Hypothesis 2: We would have observed larger mean effects in CC and CP

Condition

Ethics

- To routinely use deception with cyclists is unprofessional and unethical
- However these studies demonstrate the potential positive AND negative performance effects of
 - Explicit verbal information
 - Implicit expectations
 - Conditioned/learned responses
- Stability of effects over time is questionable

Professional practice

- If expectations influence outcomes, it is important that:
 - You understand the expectations of your athletes
 - You are able to modify these where appropriate

Relevance? In cycling there are many opportunities to enhance expectation & learning

Social context

Training

Supplements & food

Performance feedback

Summary

- Cyclists' implicit expectation of an effect can enhance performance
- Providing cyclists with an explicit expectation of an effect can enhance performance
- Cyclists negative expectations of an effect can disrupt performance
- Placebo responsive cyclists experienced greater positive effects of caffeine
- Learning of a drug effect on performance is possible
- Learning of a placebo effect on performance is possible

chris.beedie@canterbury.ac.uk